

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/584,145	ALDEA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Ephrem Alemu	2821	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ephrem Alemu. (3) _____.
 (2) Peter Gowdey. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim objection

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed about the unit for the secondary emissions for the dielectric material which has been objected to in the previous office action mailed on 10/28/2009. Applicants' clarified that the secondary emissions for the dielectric material as claimed in claim 8 is consistent with the specification and further states that the secondary emissions does not have a "unit" and are dimensionless. The argument deemed to be persuasive and therefore, the objection of claim 8 has been withdrawn.